

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/711,381	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WENG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Sam Rizk	<b>Art Unit</b> 2133

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Sam Rizk. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Attorney Larry Hume. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 23 May 2006**Time:** 10:00 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed election /restrictions of claims (1-7) and claims (7-11).**Attorney asked for a formal request to review with applicant.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



5/30/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)